Appeal No. 3
Egypt v Guadeloupe

Appeals Committee:
J Gerard (Chairman,), G Endicott (Scribe,) J Auken, E d'Orsi, J Polisner

Bermuda Bowl, Round Robin
Round 4; Table 06

Board15. Dealer South. N/S Vulnerable.

	♠ A 7 6 4 3 2 ♡ 6 ♢ J 4 ♣ Q J 9 4	
♠ Q ♡ A 7 5 4 ♢ 10 9 8 ♣ K 7 5 3 2		♠ K 8 ♡ K Q J 8 3 ♢ K Q 7 6 2 ♣ A
	♠ J 10 9 5 ♡ 10 9 2 ♢ A 5 3 ♣ 10 8 6	

West	North	East	South
			Pass
Pass	1♠	3♣	3♠
5♣	Pass	Pass	Dbl
Pass	Pass	5♢	Dbl
Pass	Pass	Pass	

Comments: --

Contract: 5♢X by East

Opening Lead: ♠J

Play: ♠A; switch to ♡6

Result: 5♢ — 1 = N/S +100

The Facts: Bid of 3♣ explained by East to North as Michaels Cue; explained by West to South as natural. South complained that with correct information he would have passed 5♣ and not doubled.

The Director:. Established that the 3♣ bid was a Michaels Cue Bid according to the E/W system. Ruled that South had been damaged.

Ruling: adjusted score 5♣-3 = N/S +150

Relevant Laws: 75. 40 12C2

East/West appealed.

Present: the East and South players with their respective captains

The Players: East asserted that he was fully entitled to make\the bid of 5♢

The Committee: informed East that no question arose concerning his bid of 5♢. The question was whether South should have the opportunity to pass 5♣ rather than double it. The Director confirmed to the Committee that he had explained this point carefully to the players.

The Committee's decision: The Director's ruling and score adjustment were supported by the Committee

Deposit (40 Euro): forfeited